

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/094,052 06/09/98 **JONES** 47513 **EXAMINER** MM21/0605 PETER F CORLESS NGUYEN, T DIKE BRONSTEIN ROBERTS & CUSHMAN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 130 WATER ST BOSTON MA 02109 2872

**DATE MAILED:** 

06/05/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 



## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/094,052

Applicant(s)

Peter W.J. Jones

Examiner

Thong Q. Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2872



		Thong G. leguyen		
TH	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) X expiresFIVE months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Ap bu	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{ May\ 25}$ but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for al	5, 2000 has been considered lowance:	I with the following effect,	
X The proposed amendment(s):				
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
	🔀 will not be entered because:			
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
	★ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  **The present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of final claims without cancelling a corresponding number of final claims.  **The present additional claims without claims without claims are considered in the claims of the claims of the claims without claims are claims and claims are claims and claims are claims and claims are claims and claims are claims are claims and claims are claims are claims are claims and claims are clai			
	NOTE: The materials which is newly-added to claims 1 and 8 and the device as claimed in newly-added claim 11/1			
	raise new issues that would require further consideration and search			
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claimsseparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allow	would by wable claims.	e allowable if submitted in a	
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The device without newly-added materials is not considered patentable with respect to the applied art.			
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
	Claims allowed: None			
,	Claims objected to: None			
1	Claims rejected: 1-10			
			en approved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
P	Other		Ungrand liters	
	BEST AVAILABLE COPY Thong Names			
\\	DLG	AVAILABLE COPY	Thong Nguyen Primary Examiner	